



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,284	07/11/2001	Ellen Barbara	CITI0230-US	9290
75127	7590	07/27/2012	EXAMINER	
SNR DENTON US LLP (CITI CUSTOMER NUMBER)			OYEBISI, OJO O	
ATTN: Eric Sophir			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 061080			3695	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2012	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eric.sophir@sndenton.com  
sg.citi-docket@sndenton.com  
patents@sndenton.com

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>		Application No. 09/903,284	Applicant(s) BARBARA ET AL.
		Examiner OJO OYEBISI	Art Unit 3695
<b>--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</b>			
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
<b>NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED</b>			
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</p> <p>a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p> <p>b) <input type="checkbox"/> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than <u>SIX MONTHS</u> from the mailing date of the final rejection.</p> <p>c) <input type="checkbox"/> A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires <u>      </u> months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or <u>SIX MONTHS</u> from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier.</p>			
<p>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).</p>			
<p>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</p>			
<b>NOTICE OF APPEAL</b>			
<p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</p>			
<b>AMENDMENTS</b>			
<p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</p> <p>a) <input type="checkbox"/> They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</p> <p>b) <input type="checkbox"/> They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</p> <p>c) <input type="checkbox"/> They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</p> <p>d) <input type="checkbox"/> They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</p> <p>NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</p>			
<p>4. <input type="checkbox"/> The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</p>			
<p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.</p>			
<p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</p>			
<p>7. <input type="checkbox"/> For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) <input type="checkbox"/> will not be entered, or (b) <input type="checkbox"/> will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</p>			
<b>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</b>			
<p>8. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</p>			
<p>9. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</p>			
<p>10. <input type="checkbox"/> The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</p>			
<b>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</b>			
<p>11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</p>			
<p>12. <input type="checkbox"/> Note the attached <i>Information Disclosure Statement(s)</i>. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____</p>			
<p>13. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>			
<b>STATUS OF CLAIMS</b>			
<p>14. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</p> <p>Claim(s) allowed: _____</p> <p>Claim(s) objected to: _____</p> <p>Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,17-44 and 50-72.</p> <p>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____</p>			
		/OJO O YEBISI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 09-2010)		Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	
		Part of Paper No. 20120724	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's argument is not persuasive. The applicant argues that the prior arts of record fails to disclose notifying the recipient by email at a recipient terminal by the payments engine of the payment before the recipient is enrolled at the on-line payments service. Contrary to the applicant's assertion, the prior art of record teaches that the EFT credit message is passed via the ATM switch from user's bank to the payee's bank, ....and When the withdrawal is requested, a debit payment message is transmitted in step SH from the payee's VPL account 535 to the ATM 500 provider bank. The payee now has immediate use of funds (see col.23 lines 18-34). The examiner further contends that it is predictable to send a notification to the recipient before enrollment since the system is a PAYanyone EFT system. That is to say, the system can pay anyone regardless if they are enrolled in the system or not. The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results." KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1739 (2007). Further, the effect of this payment system is to ultimately pay anyone regardless of if they are enrolled in the system or not. Moreover, notifying the recipient of the payment sent would be a predictable way to further and promote the object of this system which is to "Pay anyone." When a work is available in one field of endeavor, design incentives and other market forces can prompt variations of it, either in the same field or in a different one. If a person of ordinary skill in the art can implement a predictable variation, § 103 likely bars its patentability." Id. at 1740.